

Status of the Lawsuits Challenging the Affordable Care Act's Birth Control Coverage Benefit

Over 100 lawsuits¹ have been filed in federal court challenging the Affordable Care Act's birth control coverage benefit. The benefit requires new health plans to include coverage for the full range of FDA-approved methods of birth control, sterilization, and related education and counseling at no cost-sharing.

For-profit companies are among those that are pushing for the courts to allow bosses to decide whether women will have access to insurance coverage of birth control. These cases have been filed by for-profit companies ranging from a mining company to the Hobby Lobby crafts store chain to an HVAC company. Non-profit organizations with religious objections to birth control have also brought challenges to the benefit. The challenges have been brought under the federal Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) and the First Amendment.

Supreme Court Review:

This term, the Supreme Court is reviewing 2 of the for-profit cases, *Hobby Lobby* and *Conestoga Wood Specialties*. Oral argument was heard on March 25, 2014. The Court is expected to issue a decision this term.

- In *Hobby Lobby* (a nationwide arts and crafts store chain with over 13,000 employees), the 10th Circuit Court of Appeals held in favor of the for-profit company, finding that it can exercise religious beliefs under RFRA and that the birth control requirement violated the company's rights under RFRA.
- In *Conestoga Wood Specialties* (a manufacturer of wood cabinet and specialty products with 950 employees), the 3rd Circuit Court of Appeals rejected both the for-profit corporation's and its owners' RFRA and First Amendment challenges to the birth control coverage requirement.
- It is expected that the Supreme Court will consider the threshold question of whether a for-profit corporation is capable of religious exercise, along with other RFRA and First Amendment issues, including:
 - Under RFRA: whether the birth control benefit substantially burdens religious exercise; if so, whether compelling government interests justify the burden and whether the benefit is the least restrictive means of furthering those interests.

¹ This number counts each case as a unique case, even if the same parties filed an earlier challenge that was dismissed or voluntarily withdrawn. Cases filed by both for- and non-profit employers are counted once, as for-profit cases.

- Under the First Amendment: whether the birth control coverage requirement is neutral and generally applicable; if not, whether compelling government interests justify the benefit.
- The National Women’s Law Center, joined by 68 other organizations, [filed an amicus brief](#) at the Supreme Court, focusing on the compelling government interests forwarded by the birth control coverage requirement.

Status of Cases in the Lower Federal Courts – For-Profits:

49 cases have been filed by for-profit companies, 47 of which are pending.

- To date, 7 panels of circuit courts of appeals have heard arguments in cases brought by for-profit companies, 6 of which have issued decisions.
- Three circuit court panels, the 3rd Circuit in *Conestoga* and the 6th Circuit in *Autocam* and *Eden Foods*, rejected the RFRA claims of the for-profit companies and their owners. The courts held that a for-profit corporation is not a “person” capable of religious exercise under RFRA and that the owners’ personal religious exercise is not affected by the birth control coverage requirement. The *Conestoga* court also rejected the First Amendment claims of the for-profit company and its owners.
- Three circuit court panels, the D.C. Circuit (*Gilardi*), 7th Circuit (in the consolidated *Korte* and *Grote* cases), and 10th Circuit (*Hobby Lobby*) have allowed the companies to refuse to cover birth control in their employees’ health insurance plans.
- An 8th Circuit panel has heard oral argument in 2 cases, *Annex Medical* and *O’Brien*, but has not yet issued a decision in either case.
- 4 cases include both for- and non-profit plaintiffs: *Geneva College* (3rd Circuit), *Weingartz/Legatus* (6th Circuit); *Sharpe Holdings* (8th Circuit); and *Catholic Benefits Association* (W.D. Okla.).

Status of Cases in the Lower Federal Courts – Non-Profits:

55 cases have been brought by non-profit organizations, 32 of which are pending.

- Several of the non-profit cases were initially voluntarily withdrawn or dismissed as not being ripe or because plaintiffs lacked standing. This is because non-profits with religious objections to providing birth control coverage were given a delay in implementing the benefit and the Administration was undertaking rulemaking on an “accommodation” for non-profit organizations with religious objections to providing the benefit.
- The Administration finalized the accommodation rule on June 28. The rule allows a non-profit that holds itself out as religious and has religious objections to birth control to

refuse to cover it, while ensuring that the non-profit's employees receive the coverage without cost-sharing directly from the insurance company. So far, 32 non-profit cases have been filed by non-profits that are not satisfied with the accommodation. This includes 12 cases re-filed by non-profits that withdrew their initial challenges or whose challenges were dismissed.

Status of Cases in the Lower Federal Courts – Other:

2 cases have been brought by plaintiffs that are neither for-profit companies nor non-profit organizations.

- *Wieland* was brought by State Representative Paul Wieland, a member of the Missouri House of Representatives. This was the first challenge by an employee who receives insurance through an employer that is complying with the rule. A Missouri district court dismissed the case on the grounds that Representative Wieland did not have standing to bring the case. The plaintiffs have appealed to the 8th Circuit.
- *State of Nebraska* was brought by officials representing the states of Nebraska, South Carolina, Michigan, Texas, Florida, Ohio, and Oklahoma. Following the government's rulemaking on the accommodation, the 8th Circuit dismissed their case at the officials' request.

The attached chart details the cases brought by both for-profit companies, non-profit organizations, as well as other cases brought by plaintiffs that are neither not for-profit companies nor non-profit organizations. The first chart contains the for-profit cases; the second contains the non-profit cases; the third includes other cases. Each chart is organized by the region of the country in which the case was filed, according to the boundaries of the courts of appeals. The cases that will be heard by the Supreme Court are highlighted in yellow. Closed cases are highlighted in grey. The chart can also be found online at <http://www.nwlc.org/overview-lawsuits-challenging-affordable-care-act's-no-cost-sharing-contraceptive-coverage-benefit>.

For more information about the health care law's birth control coverage benefit and the legal claims at issue in the cases, please visit:

<http://www.nwlc.org/preventive-services-including-contraceptive-coverage-under-health-care-law>.

For-Profit Cases (last updated March 27, 2014)					
	Case Name	Description and Location of For-Profit Company	Court and Case Number	Date Filed	Status
1	Tyndale House v. Sebelius	Tyndale is an Illinois for-profit publishing company focusing on Christian books.	12-cv-01635 (D.D.C.) 13-5018 (D.C. Cir.)	10/2/2012	<p>District court granted a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>The government appealed to the D.C. Circuit and then moved to voluntarily dismiss the appeal, which the D.C. Circuit granted.</p> <p>The district court denied the government's motion to stay the case pending the D.C. Circuit's decision in <i>Gilardi</i>. The plaintiffs and the government are both seeking summary judgment.</p> <p>In December 2013, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint, adding the owners of Tyndale House Publishers as co-plaintiffs.</p>
2	Gilardi v. Sebelius	Freshway Foods is a fresh produce processor and packer. Freshway Logistics is a for-hire carrier of mainly refrigerated products. The companies are Ohio-based for-profits that serve 23 states.	13-cv-00104 (D.D.C.) 13-5069 (D.C. Cir.) 13-915 (U.S. Sup. Ct.)	1/24/2013	<p>District court denied a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>The plaintiffs appealed to the D.C. Circuit, which granted an injunction pending the appeal.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed in the D.C. Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 14 other national, regional, state and local organizations.</p> <p>A divided D.C. Circuit reversed the district court's denial of a preliminary injunction, finding that while for-profit corporations cannot exercise religion under RFRA or the First Amendment, the individual owners here successfully asserted a claim against the contraceptive coverage requirement. It returned the</p>

					<p>case to the district court to reconsider whether to grant a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>Despite a victory in the D.C. Circuit, the for-profit companies asked the Supreme Court to review the part of the D.C. Circuit's decision that held that a for-profit corporation is not a "person" capable of religious exercise. The government has also filed a cert petition asking the Supreme Court to review the D.C. Circuit's decision.</p> <p>The D.C. Circuit has ordered that the injunction pending appeal continue until the end of Supreme Court proceedings.</p>
3	Johnson Welded Products v. Sebelius	Johnson Welded Products is an Ohio-based manufacturer of reservoirs for air brake systems.	13-cv-00609 (D.D.C.)	4/30/2013	District court granted an unopposed motion for temporary injunctive relief and stayed the case.
4	Willis & Willis PLC v. Sebelius	Willis & Willis PLC is a Michigan-based law firm.	13-cv-01124 (D.D.C.)	7/24/2013	District court granted unopposed motions for a preliminary injunction and to stay the case.
5	Trijicon, Inc. v. Sebelius (also known as Bindon v. Sebelius)	Trijicon, Inc. is a Michigan-based maker of aiming systems for firearms.	13-cv-01207 (D.D.C.)	8/5/2013	District court granted unopposed motions for a preliminary injunction and to stay the case.
6	Barron Industries v. Sebelius	Barron Industries, Inc. is a Michigan-based company that produces metal castings for various industries.	13-cv-01330 (D.D.C.)	9/4/2013	District court granted unopposed motions for a preliminary injunction and to stay the case.
7	Midwest Fastener Corp. v. Sebelius	Midwest Fastener Corp. is a Michigan-based company that supplies fasteners to the hardware store, home center, and industrial markets.	13-cv-01337 (D.D.C.)	9/5/2013	District court granted unopposed motions for a preliminary injunction and to stay the case.

8	Williams v. Sebelius	The Williams own Electrolock Inc., an Ohio-based corporation that works in the electrical and thermal insulation industry. Other plaintiff companies include Stone River Management Co. and Dunstone Co.	13-cv-01699 (D.D.C.)	10/30/2013	District court granted unopposed motions for a preliminary injunction and to stay the case.
9	C.W. Zumbiel, Co. v. Sebelius	Zumbiel Packaging is a Kentucky-based manufacturer of paperboard packaging for consumer goods.	13-cv-01611 (D.D.C.)	10/22/2013	District court granted unopposed motions for a preliminary injunction and to stay the case.
10	Stewart et al. v. Sebelius	Encompass Develop, Design & Construct, LLC is a Kentucky-based architect, design and construction service of which John Stewart is the managing and sole member.	13-cv-01879 (D.D.C.)	11/27/2013	Complaint filed.
11	Conestoga Wood Specialties Corporation v. Sebelius	Conestoga Wood Specialties Corporation is a Pennsylvania-based wood cabinet and specialty products manufacturer.	12-cv-06744 (E.D. Pa.) 13-1144 (3d. Cir.) 13-356 (U.S. Sup. Ct.)	12/4/2012	District court initially granted a temporary restraining order (TRO) but then dismissed a motion for a preliminary injunction. The plaintiffs appealed to the 3 rd Circuit, which affirmed the district court's denial of a preliminary injunction. The 3 rd Circuit denied plaintiffs' request for <i>en banc</i> review. Plaintiffs filed a cert petition with the Supreme Court, asking the Court to review the 3 rd Circuit's decision that a corporation is not a "person" under RFRA or the First Amendment.

					<p>The 3rd Circuit denied plaintiffs' motion to stay the decision until the conclusion of plaintiffs' appeal to the Supreme Court.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed in the 3rd Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 15 other national, regional, state and local organizations.</p> <p>On November 26, the Supreme Court granted the cert petitions in <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga Wood Specialties</i> and consolidated the cases.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed at the Supreme Court on behalf of NWLC and 68 other organizations.</p>
12	Holland et al v. Sebelius	Holland Chevrolet is a West Virginia-based corporation engaged in selling and servicing motor vehicles.	13-cv-15487 (S.D. W. Va.)	6/24/2013	<p>Plaintiffs have filed an amended complaint and the government submitted a motion to dismiss.</p> <p>The court has stayed the case pending the Supreme Court's resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i>.</p>
13	Autocam Corporation et al. v. Sebelius	Autocam Automotive makes parts for transportation while Autocam Medical makes medical equipment. These are West-Michigan-based manufacturing companies that operate across the United States.	12-cv-01096 (W.D. Mich.) 12-2673, 13-2316 (6th Cir.)	10/8/2012	<p>District court denied a preliminary injunction. The plaintiffs appealed to the 6th Circuit.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed in the 6th Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 22 other national, regional, and state organizations.</p> <p>A three judge panel in the 6th Circuit issued a unanimous decision holding that Autocam is not a "person" under RFRA and therefore does not have standing to bring a RFRA challenge to the contraceptive coverage rule.</p>

					<p>The plaintiffs filed a cert petition with the Supreme Court, asking the Court to review the 6th Circuit’s decision that a corporation is not a “person” capable of religious exercise under RFRA or the First Amendment.</p> <p>The district court granted the government’s motion to dismiss. The plaintiffs have appealed the dismissal to the 6th Circuit, which held the appeal in abeyance pending the Supreme Court’s ruling on their cert petition.</p>
14	Domino’s Farms Corporation v. Sebelius	Domino’s Farms is a Michigan-based property management company.	12-cv-15488 (E.D. Mich.) 13-1654 (6th Cir.)	12/14/2012	<p>District court granted a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>The government appealed to the 6th Circuit. In light of the 6th Circuit’s <i>Autocam</i> decision, the government filed a motion with the 6th Circuit to reverse the district court’s grant of a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed in the 6th Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 17 other national, regional, state, and local organizations.</p> <p>The district court denied plaintiffs’ motion in the district court to reopen the case and lift the stay for the limited purpose of adding several non-profit organizations.</p> <p>The 6th Circuit decided to review the case without oral argument.</p>
15	Infrastructure Alternatives Inc. v. Sebelius	Infrastructure Alternatives is a Michigan	13-cv-00031 (W.D.	1/10/2013	In light of the 6 th Circuit’s decision in <i>Autocam</i> , the district court ordered the

		corporation. It is a contractor in the fields of environmental dredging, contaminated sediment remediation, geotextile tube installation, and water treatment operations.	Mich.)		parties to show why it should not apply the 6 th Circuit's reasoning in <i>Autocam</i> and dismiss the claims of the individual and corporate plaintiffs. Plaintiffs stated that they do not agree with the <i>Autocam</i> decision but recognize the district court is bound to follow it and so do not object to the court's dismissal of their RFRA and First Amendment claims. The court then dismissed plaintiffs' claims. Case is closed.
16	Mersino Management Company v. Sebelius	Mersino Management Co. is a Michigan-based management company and provides insurance for Mersino Enterprises, Mersino Dewatering, Global Pump Co., and Mersino South-West.	13-cv-11296 (E.D. Mich.) 13-1944 (6th Cir.)	3/22/2013	District court denied a preliminary injunction. The plaintiffs appealed to the 6 th Circuit. In light of the 6 th Circuit's decision in <i>Autocam</i> , the government filed a motion seeking summary affirmance of the district court's denial of a preliminary injunction.
17	Eden Foods Inc. v. Sebelius	Eden Foods is a Michigan-based corporation that specializes in supplying macrobiotic, organic food.	13-cv-11229 (E.D. Mich.) 13-1677 (6th Cir.)	3/20/2013	District court denied plaintiffs' a preliminary injunction and plaintiffs appealed to the 6 th Circuit. In light of the 6 th Circuit's decision in <i>Autocam</i> , the government filed a motion with the 6 th Circuit to summarily affirm the district court's denial of a preliminary injunction, which the court denied. The court then asked the parties to submit briefs addressing the precedential impact of <i>Autocam</i> . Amicus brief filed in the 6 th Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 19 other national, regional, state, and local organizations.

					<p>On October 24, 2013, a three judge panel in the 6th Circuit issued a unanimous decision holding that Eden Foods is not a “person” under RFRA and therefore does not have standing to bring a RFRA challenge to the contraceptive coverage rule. The court then granted plaintiffs’ motion to stay the mandate to allow plaintiffs’ to file a cert petition and, if granted, until the Supreme Court makes a decision regarding the case.</p> <p>On November 12, the plaintiffs filed a cert petition with the Supreme Court, asking the Court to review the 6th Circuit’s decision.</p>
18	MK Chambers Company v. United States Department of Health and Human Services	MK Chambers Company is a Michigan-based supplier of specialty machining.	13-cv-11379 (E.D. Mich.)	3/28/2013	District court heard oral argument on July 24, 2013 and subsequently denied plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction. The case is stayed pending the Supreme Court’s resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> .
19	M&N Plastics v. Sebelius	M&N Plastics is a Michigan-based supplier of custom injection molding products.	13-cv-00819 (D.D.C.) 13-cv-14754 (E.D. Mich.)	5/31/2013 (in D.C. district court); 11/18/2013 (in Michigan district court)	<p>D.C. district court granted the government’s motion to transfer the case back to Michigan, where the plaintiffs originally filed a case (<i>Nagle v. Sebelius</i>).</p> <p>The Michigan district court granted the parties’ joint motion to stay pending the Supreme Court’s resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i>.</p>
20	M&N Plastics v. Sebelius	Christopher Nagle is an owner and CFO of M&N Plastics, a Michigan-based	13-cv-12036 (E.D. Mich.)	5/8/2013	District court granted plaintiffs’ request to dismiss the case without prejudice. Case is closed. The Nagles then filed a

		supplier of custom injection molding products.			second case, <i>M&N Plastics v. Sebelius</i> (above) in the district court for D.C.
21	Mersino Dewatering, Inc. v. Sebelius	Mersino Dewatering, Inc. is a Michigan-based company that provides dewatering (water removal) services. It has branches in Michigan, Florida, North Carolina, Nebraska, and Pennsylvania	13-cv-01329 (D.D.C.) 13-cv-15079 (E.D. Mich.)	9/3/2013 (in D.C. district court); 11/26/2013 (in Michigan district court)	D.C. district court granted the government's motion to transfer the case to Michigan district court.
22	Korte & Luitjohan Contractors v. United States Department of Health and Human Services	Korte & Luitjohan Contractors, Inc., is an Illinois-based full-service construction contractor.	12-cv-01072 (S.D. Ill.) 12-3841 (7th Cir.)	10/9/2012	District court denied a preliminary injunction. The plaintiffs appealed to the 7 th Circuit and asked for an injunction pending appeal. The Circuit Court granted the emergency motion for an injunction pending appeal and consolidated the case with <i>Grote Industries</i> . Amicus brief filed in the 7 th Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 13 other national organizations. In the consolidated cases of <i>Korte</i> and <i>Grote</i> , a divided 7 th Circuit reversed the lower court's denial of injunctive relief and returned the case to the district court with instructions to grant a preliminary injunction, which the district court did. The case is stayed pending the Supreme Court's resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> .
23	Triune Health Group v.	Triune is a secular Illinois corporation	12-cv-6756 (N.D. Ill.)	8/22/2012	District court granted a preliminary injunction because

	Sebelius (also known as <i>Yep v. Sebelius</i>)	that specializes in facilitating the re-entry of injured workers into the workforce.	13-1478 (7th Cir.)		it construed the 7 th Circuit decision in <i>Korte</i> as binding. The government appealed to the 7 th Circuit, asked the district court to stay proceedings pending appeal, and asked the Circuit Court to hold the case in abeyance pending <i>Korte</i> . Both courts granted the government's request to temporarily suspend the proceedings.
24	Grote Industries v. Sebelius	Grote Industries is an Indiana-based, privately held business manufacturing vehicle safety systems.	12-cv-00134 (S.D. Ind.) 13-1077 (7th Cir.)	10/29/2012	<p>District court denied a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>The plaintiffs appealed to the 7th Circuit. The 7th Circuit consolidated the case with <i>Korte</i> and, applying its own analysis in <i>Korte</i> to this case, granted Grote Industries a temporary injunction pending appeal, over the strong dissent of one judge.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed in the 7th Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 13 other national organizations.</p> <p>In the consolidated cases of <i>Korte</i> and <i>Grote</i>, a divided 7th Circuit reversed the lower court's denial of injunctive relief and returned the case to the district court with instructions to grant a preliminary injunction, which the district court did.</p> <p>The case is stayed pending the Supreme Court's resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i>.</p>
25	Tonn and Blank Construction v. Sebelius	Tonn and Black Construction, LLC, is an Indiana construction	12-cv-00325 (N.D. Ind.)	9/20/2012	District court granted an unopposed preliminary injunction. The court stayed the case and continued the

		company.			preliminary injunction pending the Supreme Court's resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> .
26	Lindsay, Rappaport and Postel LLC v. Sebelius	LR&P is an Illinois-based law firm that primarily practices in insurance defense, insurance coverage, and appellate work.	13-cv-01210 (N.D. Ill.)	2/14/2013	District court granted a preliminary injunction and stayed the case. In January 2014, the court granted an unopposed extension of the preliminary injunction and the stay pending the Supreme Court's resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> .
27	Hartenbower v. Sebelius	The Hartenbowers co-own Hart Electric LLC, an Illinois-based manufacturer of electrical components, and H.I. Cable.	13-cv-02253 (N.D. Ill.)	3/26/2013	District court granted an unopposed motion for a preliminary injunction and stayed the case pending rulings in the consolidated cases of <i>Korte</i> and <i>Grote</i> . In January 2014, the court granted an unopposed extension of the preliminary injunction and the stay pending the Supreme Court's resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> .
28	Ozinga v. Sebelius	The Ozingas are owners and senior managers of Ozinga Bros. Inc., an Illinois-based producer of ready-made concrete.	13-cv-03292 (N.D. Ill.)	5/1/2013	District court granted an unopposed motion for a preliminary injunction and stayed the case pending the 7 th Circuit's rulings in the consolidated cases of <i>Korte</i> and <i>Grote</i> .
29	O'Brien v. Sebelius	O'Brien Industrial Holding is a Missouri company engaged in the exploration, mining, processing, manufacturing, and distribution of refractory and ceramic raw materials.	12-cv-00476 (E.D. Mo.) 12-3357 (8th Cir.)	3/15/2012	District court granted the government's motion to dismiss. The plaintiffs appealed to the 8 th Circuit. On November 28, 2012, the 8 th Circuit issued a stay pending the appeal. The 8 th Circuit denied the motion to consolidate with <i>Annex Medical</i> . The 8 th Circuit heard oral argument on plaintiffs' appeal

					<p>of the district court's decision (on the merits) granting the motion to dismiss on October 24, 2013.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed in the 8th Circuit on behalf of NWLC.</p>
30	American Pulverizer Co. v. Sebelius	Springfield Iron and Metal, LLC, American Pulverizer Company, Hustler Conveyor Company, and City Welding are four Missouri-based companies involved in the business of wholesale scrap metal recycling and manufacturing of related machines.	12-cv-3459 (W.D. Mo.) 13-1395 (8th Cir.)	10/19/2012	<p>District court granted a preliminary injunction in part because of the stay granted in <i>O'Brien</i> by the 8th Circuit. The government appealed the preliminary injunction to the 8th Circuit. Proceedings in the district court are stayed pending the appeal.</p> <p>Following the government's request, the 8th Circuit agreed to hold the case in abeyance pending the <i>O'Brien</i> ruling.</p>
31	Annex Medical Inc. v. Sebelius	Annex Medical and Sacred Heart Medical are companies that design, manufacture, and sell medical devices. They are owned by Stuart Lind. Tom Janas is an additional plaintiff who is an entrepreneur who has owned several dairy businesses in the past and intends to purchase another in 2013. He currently operates Habile Holdings and Venture North Properties, companies that lease commercial properties but currently have no employees.	12-cv-02804 (D. Minn.) 13-1118 (8th Cir.)	11/2/2012	<p>District court denied a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>The plaintiffs appealed to the 8th Circuit. The 8th Circuit granted an injunction pending appeal, relying on the <i>O'Brien</i> order. The 8th Circuit denied the motion to consolidate with <i>O'Brien</i>. It heard oral argument on October 24, 2013.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed in the 8th Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 18 other national, regional, state and local organizations.</p>

32	Sioux Chief MFG. Co., Inc. v. Sebelius	Sioux Chief MFG. Co, Inc. is a Missouri Corporation that manufactures plumbing products.	13-cv-0036 (W.D. Mo.)	1/14/2013	District court granted a preliminary injunction and a motion to stay all proceedings pending rulings in <i>O'Brien</i> and <i>Annex Medical</i> .
33	Hall v. Sebelius	Reverend Gregory Hall is a Catholic Deacon who owns American Mfg Company, a Minnesota-based company that manufactures and markets mining equipment, mud pumps, and parts for global distribution.	13-cv-00295 (D. Minn.)	2/5/2013	District court granted an unopposed motion for temporary injunctive relief and stayed the case pending rulings in <i>O'Brien</i> and <i>Annex Medical</i> .
34	Bick Holdings Inc. v. Sebelius	Bick Holdings Inc. is a Missouri-based holding company for operating companies Bick Group Inc., Bick Properties Inc., and SEALCO LLC. Through these subsidiaries BHI engages in data center consulting, design, maintenance, service, and cleaning.	13-cv-00462 (E.D. Mo.)	3/13/2013	District court granted an unopposed motion for a preliminary injunction. Parties agreed to stay the case and the enforcement of the benefit pending the rulings in <i>O'Brien</i> and <i>Annex Medical</i> .
35	SMA LLC. v. Sebelius	SMA LLC is a Minnesota based agricultural/industrial construction company.	13-cv-01375 (D. Minn.)	6/6/2013	District court granted an unopposed motion for a preliminary injunction. Parties agreed to stay the case and the enforcement of the benefit pending the rulings in <i>O'Brien</i> and <i>Annex Medical</i> .
36	Medford v. Sebelius (also known as QC Group v. Sebelius)	The QC Group Inc is a Minnesota-based corporation, owned by Daniel Medford and David DeVowe, which provides	13-cv-01726 (D. Minn.)	7/2/2013	District court granted an unopposed motion for a preliminary injunction and stayed the case until 30 days after a decision in <i>O'Brien</i> or <i>Annex Medical</i> .

		quality control services.			
37	Feltl & Co., Inc. v. Sebelius	Feltl & Co., Inc. is a Minnesota-based securities brokerage and investment banking company.	13-cv-02635 (D. Minn.)	9/25/2013	District court granted plaintiffs' unopposed motion for a preliminary injunction, stating that the injunction is in force until 30 days after a decision in <i>O'Brien</i> or <i>Annex Medical</i> or until the Supreme Court issues a decision in a substantially similar case.
38	Randy Reed Automotive v. Sebelius	Randy Reed Automotive, Randy Reed Buick GMC, Randy Reed Nissan, and Randy Reed Chevrolet are Missouri-based car dealerships.	13-cv-6117 (W.D. Mo.)	10/8/2013	District court granted plaintiffs' unopposed motion for preliminary injunction and the government's unopposed motion to stay proceedings.
39	Doboszinski & Sons, Inc. v. Sebelius	Doboszinski & Sons is a Minnesota-based company that provides services for excavation, demolition, and street construction and reconstruction.	13-cv-03148 (D. Minn.)	11/14/2013	District court granted plaintiffs' unopposed motion for preliminary injunction. The court stayed the case pending resolution of the appeal in either <i>O'Brien</i> or <i>Annex Medical</i> , or until the Supreme Court issues a ruling in a substantially similar case, whichever occurs first.
40	Hastings Automotive v. Sebelius	Hastings Automotive, Inc. (known as Hastings Ford) and Hastings Chrysler Center are Minnesota car dealerships.	14-cv-00265 (D. Minn.)	1/29/14	District court denied unopposed motion for preliminary injunction because government agreed not to enforce birth control coverage benefit until 30 days following Supreme Court's resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> .
41	Newland v. Sebelius	Hercules Industries, Inc. is a Colorado corporation that manufactures heating, ventilation, and air conditioning products, owned by	12-cv-01123 (D. Colo.) 12-1380 (10th Cir.)	4/30/2012	District court granted a preliminary injunction. The government appealed to the 10 th Circuit, which affirmed the district court's preliminary injunction order. The court

		the Newlands and another plaintiff.	13-919 (U.S. Sup. Ct.)		<p>remanded the case to the district court with instructions to abate further proceedings pending the Supreme Court's consideration of the <i>Hobby Lobby</i> case.</p> <p>The government filed a cert petition with the Supreme Court asking it to hold the petition pending the disposition of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i>, and then to dispose of it as appropriate in light of the Court's decision in those cases.</p>
42	Hobby Lobby Stores Inc., et al. v. Sebelius	Hobby Lobby is a national craft supply chain with headquarters in Oklahoma. Mardel (another plaintiff) is a privately held bookstore and education company specializing in Christian books and religious texts.	<p>12-cv-1000 (W.D. Okla.)</p> <p>12-6294, 13-6215 (10th Cir.)</p> <p>13-354 (U.S. Sup. Ct.)</p>	9/12/2012	<p>District court denied a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>The plaintiffs appealed to the 10th Circuit. While that appeal was pending, the 10th Circuit denied separate injunctive relief. The plaintiffs appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court for the separate relief but the Supreme Court refused to hear the case.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed in the 10th Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 25 other national, regional, state and local organizations.</p> <p>A divided <i>en banc</i> panel of the 10th Circuit reversed the lower court's denial of injunctive relief and returned the case to the district court to reconsider whether to grant a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>After the 10th Circuit's decision, the district court granted the plaintiffs' emergency motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction.</p>

					<p>The government filed a cert petition with the Supreme Court asking it to review the 10th Circuit's <i>en banc</i> decision.</p> <p>On November 26, the Supreme Court granted the cert petitions in <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga Wood Specialties</i> and consolidated the cases.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed at the Supreme Court on behalf of NWLC and 68 other organizations.</p> <p>The case is stayed pending the Supreme Court's decision in the case.</p>
43	Briscoe v. Sebelius	Continuum Health Partnership is a Colorado-based oxygen supply company. Conessione is an investment company.	13-cv-00285 (D. Colo.) 13-1461 (10th Cir.)	2/4/2013	<p>District court denied a temporary restraining order. Following the district court's grant of a preliminary injunction in <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and after submitting answers to additional questions the district court instructed them to answer, the court granted plaintiffs a preliminary injunction with respect to the contraceptive methods to which plaintiff objects.</p> <p>The case is stayed until 14 days after the Supreme Court's decision in <i>Hobby Lobby</i>.</p>
44	Armstrong v. Sebelius	Cherry Creek Mortgage Co. is a Colorado-based full-service residential mortgage banking company.	13-cv-00563 (D. Colo.) 13-1218 (10th Cir.)	3/5/2013	<p>District court denied the motion for a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>The plaintiffs appealed to the 10th Circuit. After the 10th Circuit's decision in <i>Hobby Lobby</i>, the plaintiffs filed a motion with the district court for an injunction pending appeal and requested a decision as soon as possible.</p>

					The 10 th Circuit vacated the district court's denial of the preliminary injunction and remanded the case to the district court to proceed in light of its <i>en banc</i> decision in <i>Hobby Lobby</i> . The district court then granted plaintiffs a preliminary injunction. The court stayed the case pending the Supreme Court's decision in <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> .
45	Beckwith Electric Co. v. Sebelius	Beckwith Electric Co. is a Florida-based provider of micro-processor-based technology.	13-cv-00648 (M.D. Fla.) 13-13879 (11th Cir.)	3/12/2013	District court granted a preliminary injunction. The government appealed to the 11 th Circuit. Amicus brief filed in the 11 th Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 13 other national, regional, state and local organizations. The 11 th Circuit stayed the case pending issuance of the Supreme Court's decisions in <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> .

Non-Profit Cases (last updated March 27, 2014)				
	Name of Case and State Where Plaintiffs Located	Court and Case Number	Date Filed	Status
1	Belmont Abbey Coll. v. Sebelius North Carolina	11-cv-01989 (D.D.C.) 12-5291 (D.C. Cir.)	11/10/2011	District court dismissed on grounds of standing and ripeness. Plaintiffs appealed to the D.C. Circuit. D.C. Circuit had been holding the case until the government completed its rulemaking on the application of the contraceptive coverage benefit to non-profits with religious objections. On August 13, 2013, after considering the parties' joint motion to terminate the abeyance status and remand to the district court in light of the final contraceptive coverage rules, the D.C. Circuit ordered that the consolidated cases of <i>Belmont Abbey</i> and <i>Wheaton College</i> be sent back to the district court, instructing the district court to vacate its judgments and dismiss the complaints as moot. The district court vacated its judgment and dismissed the complaints as moot.
2	Belmont Abbey Coll. v. Sebelius (II) North Carolina	13-cv-01831 (D.D.C.)	11/20/2013	District court stayed the case pending the D.C. Circuit's rulings in <i>Priests for Life</i> and <i>Archbishop of Washington</i> .
3	Wheaton College v. Sebelius Illinois	12-cv-01169 (D.D.C.) 12-5273 (D.C. Cir.)	7/18/2012	District court dismissed on grounds of standing and ripeness. Plaintiffs appealed to the D.C. Circuit. D.C. Circuit had been holding the case until the government completed its rulemaking on the application of the contraceptive coverage benefit to non-profits with religious objections. On August 13, 2013, after considering the parties' joint motion to terminate the abeyance status and remand to the district court in light of the final contraceptive coverage rules, the D.C. Circuit ordered that the consolidated cases of <i>Belmont Abbey</i> and <i>Wheaton College</i> be sent back to the district court to vacate its judgments and dismiss the complaints as moot. The district court vacated its judgment and dismissed the complaints as

				moot.
4	Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington v. Sebelius Washington, D.C.	12-cv-815 (D.D.C.) 13-509 (D.C. Cir.)	5/21/2012	The district court dismissed the case on grounds of ripeness. The plaintiffs appealed to the D.C. Circuit. The D.C. Circuit denied plaintiffs' motion to summarily reverse and ruled to hold the appeal in abeyance, pending a decision in the consolidated cases of <i>Belmont Abbey</i> and <i>Wheaton College</i> . The D.C. Circuit then dismissed as moot the appeal with respect to the initial contraceptive coverage regulations. Following the D.C. Circuit's decision in <i>Wheaton</i> , plaintiffs filed a motion for a preliminary injunction against the final contraceptive coverage rule in the D.C. Circuit, which the court denied, stating that such relief should first be sought in the district court. Case is closed.
5	Roman Catholic Archbishop of Washington v. Sebelius (II) Washington, D.C.	13-cv-01441 (D.D.C.) 13-5371 (D.C. Cir.) 14-5021 (D.C. Cir.) 13-829 (U.S. Sup. Ct.)	9/20/2013	The district court granted summary judgment in part to the government and in part to the non-profit parties. The plaintiffs appealed to the D.C. Circuit, which consolidated the case with <i>Priests for Life</i> . In a 2-1 decision, the D.C. Circuit granted an emergency injunction pending appeal. On January 8, 2014, the plaintiffs filed a petition for certiorari before judgment with the Supreme Court, asking the Court to review its case before the D.C. Circuit has issued a decision. On January 23, 2014, the government appealed the district court's partial summary judgment with the D.C. Circuit. The D.C. Circuit consolidated the cross-appeals and set a briefing schedule.
6	Priests for Life v. Sebelius (II) New York	13-cv-01261 (D.D.C.) 13-5368 (D.C. Cir.) 13-891 (U.S.	8/19/2013	Following the D.C. Circuit's decision in <i>Gilardi</i> , the district court asked the parties to address the impact of <i>Gilardi</i> on this case. Following the Supreme Court's announcement that it would review <i>Hobby</i>

		Sup. Ct.)		<p><i>Lobby and Conestoga</i>, the district court directed the parties to address the impact of the announcement.</p> <p>The district court granted the government's motion to dismiss. The plaintiffs appealed to the D.C. Circuit, which consolidated the case with <i>Archbishop of Washington</i>. In a 2-1 decision, the D.C. Circuit granted an emergency injunction pending appeal. The court then set a briefing schedule.</p> <p>On January 23, the plaintiffs filed a petition for certiorari before judgment with the Supreme Court, asking the Court to review the district court's decision before the D.C. Circuit has issued a decision.</p>
7	Priests for Life v. Sebelius New York	12-cv-00753 (E.D.N.Y.)	2/15/2012	On January 8, 2013, the district court deemed the Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order moot based on the government's agreement that Plaintiffs qualify for the delay in compliance. On April 12, 2013, the court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness. Case is closed.
8	Roman Catholic Archdiocese of NY v. Sebelius New York	12-cv-2542 (E.D.N.Y.) 14-427 (2d Cir.)	5/21/2012	<p>The district court granted the motion to dismiss for the Diocese and Catholic Charities because they lack standing, but denied it for the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of New York, the Catholic Health Care System and the Catholic Health Services of Long Island.</p> <p>The district court granted summary judgment and an injunction to the non-diocesan plaintiffs. The government appealed to the 2nd Circuit.</p>
9	Persico v. Sebelius (also known as Diocese of Erie v. Sebelius or Trautman v. Sebelius) Pennsylvania	12-cv-00123 (W.D. Pa.)	5/21/2012	District court denied a preliminary injunction and granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness. Case is closed.
10	Persico v. Sebelius (II) (also known as Diocese of Erie (II))	13-cv-303 (W.D. Pa.)	10/8/2013	District court granted an expedited motion for a preliminary injunction which it then converted into a permanent injunction at

	Pennsylvania	14-1376 (3d Cir.)		<p>plaintiffs' request. The government appealed to the 3rd Circuit.</p> <p>The case is consolidated with <i>Zubik v. Sebelius (II)</i>.</p>
11	Zubik v. Sebelius (also known as Diocese of Pittsburgh v. Sebelius) Pennsylvania	12-cv-676 (W.D. Pa.)	5/21/2012	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of standing and ripeness. Plaintiffs appealed to the 3 rd Circuit. After the government finalized the accommodation under the birth control coverage rule, the parties requested voluntary dismissal of the appeal, which the 3 rd Circuit granted. Case is closed.
12	Zubik v. Sebelius (II) (also known as Diocese of Pittsburgh v. Sebelius (II)) Pennsylvania	13-cv-1459 (W.D. Pa.) 14-1377 (3d Cir.)	10/8/2013	<p>District court granted an expedited motion for a preliminary injunction which it then converted into a permanent injunction at plaintiffs' request. The government appealed to the 3rd Circuit.</p> <p>The case is consolidated with <i>Perisco v. Sebelius (II)</i>.</p>
13	Liberty University v. Geithner Virginia	10-cv-15 (W.D. Va.) 10-2347 (4th Cir.) 11-438 (U.S. Sup. Ct.)	3/23/10	<p>Revised complaint filed with the 4th Circuit on February 27, 2013, to include a challenge to the contraceptive coverage benefit, in addition to challenges against the employer and individual responsibility provisions.</p> <p>The original complaint – which did not include a challenge to the contraceptive coverage requirement – was filed March 23, 2010. It has a complicated history in the courts, including being vacated and dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. But on November 26, 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court remanded the case to the 4th Circuit for further consideration in light of the Supreme Court's decision in <i>National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius</i> (upholding the Affordable Care Act).</p> <p>The 4th Circuit affirmed dismissal of challenges to the individual and employer responsibility provisions. The 4th Circuit declined to consider the challenge to the</p>

				<p>contraceptive coverage benefit. The 4th Circuit then denied the plaintiffs' motion to stay pending determination of the cert petition they were preparing to file at the Supreme Court.</p> <p>Plaintiffs filed a cert petition with the U.S. Supreme Court, asking the Court to review the 4th Circuit's dismissal of its challenge to the individual and employer responsibility provisions. In addition, plaintiffs asked the Court to review the 4th Circuit's refusal to consider its challenge to the contraceptive coverage benefit, which plaintiffs characterize as part of the employer responsibility provision "as fully defined."</p> <p>On December 2, the Supreme Court denied Liberty University's cert petition.</p>
14	<p>Louisiana College v. Sebelius</p> <p>Louisiana</p>	<p>12-cv-00463 (W.D. La.)</p>	<p>2/18/2012</p>	<p>In September 2013, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint and filed a motion for a preliminary injunction. The government filed a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim or, in the alternative, for summary judgment. The plaintiffs have also filed a motion for summary judgment.</p> <p>In January 2014, Louisiana College withdrew its motion for a preliminary injunction, stating that it was protected by a preliminary injunction granted by an Oklahoma district court in <i>Reaching Souls International</i>.</p>
15	<p>Roman Catholic Diocese of Dallas v. Sebelius</p> <p>Texas</p>	<p>12-cv-1589 (N.D. Tex.)</p>	<p>5/21/2012</p>	<p>District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness. Case is closed.</p>
16	<p>Roman Catholic Diocese of Fort Worth v. Sebelius</p> <p>Texas</p>	<p>12-cv-00314 (N.D. Tex.)</p> <p>14-10241 (5th Cir.)</p>	<p>5/21/2012</p>	<p>District court denied the motion to dismiss and the motion to stay. The plaintiffs submitted an amended complaint and filed a motion for an injunction. The government filed a motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for summary judgment.</p> <p>The district court granted a preliminary injunction to plaintiff University of Dallas. The government appealed to the 5th Circuit.</p>

17	Roman Catholic Diocese of Biloxi v Sebelius Mississippi	12-cv-158 (S.D. Miss.)	5/21/2012	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness. The plaintiffs filed a motion to amend/alter the judgment, which the district court also denied. Case is closed.
18	East Texas Baptist University v. Sebelius Texas	12-cv-3009 (E.D. Tex.) 14-20112 (5th Cir.)	10/9/2012	Plaintiffs submitted an amended complaint challenging the final birth control rule. Westminster Theological Seminary intervened as an additional plaintiff. The district court granted plaintiffs a preliminary injunction which it then converted into a permanent injunction. The government appealed to the 5 th Circuit.
19	Criswell College v. Sebelius Texas	12-cv-4409 (N.D. Tex.)	11/1/2012	The court dismissed the case on grounds of ripeness. Case is closed.
20	American Family Association v. Sebelius Mississippi	13-cv-32 (N.D. Miss.)	2/20/2013	Complaint and motion for preliminary injunction filed in response to the government's proposed rule on the application of the contraceptive coverage benefit to religiously-affiliated non-profits that was issued February 1, 2013. Government filed a motion to dismiss. After the rule was finalized, plaintiffs submitted notice to voluntarily dismiss the case. Case is closed.
21	Catholic Diocese of Beaumont v. Sebelius Texas	13-cv-00709 (E.D. Tex.) 14-40212 (5th Cir.)	12/10/2013	District court granted a permanent injunction. The government appealed to the 5 th Circuit.
22	Franciscan University of Steubenville v. Sebelius Michigan	12-cv-440 (S.D. Ohio)	5/21/2012	Court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness. Case is closed.
23	Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Sebelius Tennessee	12-cv-934 (M.D. Tenn.) 12-6590 (6th Cir.)	9/12/2012	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of standing and ripeness. Plaintiffs appealed to the 6 th Circuit. On February 28, 2013, the 6 th Circuit granted the plaintiff's request to dismiss the case without prejudice. Case is closed.
24	Catholic Diocese of Nashville v. Sebelius (II)	13-cv-1303 (M.D. Tenn.) 13-6640 (6th	11/22/2013	District court denied plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction. The plaintiffs appealed to the 6 th Circuit, which granted plaintiffs' motion for an injunction pending

	Tennessee	Cir.)		<p>appeal in a 2-1 decision. The 6th Circuit consolidated the appeal with <i>Michigan Catholic Conference</i> and set a briefing schedule.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed in the 6th Circuit by the National Women's Law Center on behalf of 21 other national, state, regional, and local organizations.</p> <p>The district court stayed its proceedings pending the 6th Circuit appeal.</p>
25	Right to Life of Michigan v. Sebelius Michigan	13-cv-1202 (W.D. Mich.)	11/4/2013	Complaint and motion for preliminary injunction filed. District court granted motion to stay.
26	Michigan Catholic Conference v. Sebelius Michigan	13-cv-01247 (W.D. Mich.) 13-2723 (6th Cir.)	11/14/2013	<p>District court denied plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction. The plaintiffs appealed to the 6th Circuit, which granted plaintiffs' motion for an injunction pending appeal in a 2-1 decision. The 6th Circuit consolidated the appeal with <i>Diocese of Nashville</i> and set a briefing schedule.</p> <p>Amicus brief filed by in the 6th Circuit the National Women's Law Center on behalf of 21 other national, state, regional, and local organizations.</p> <p>The district court stayed its proceedings pending the 6th Circuit appeal.</p>
27	Ave Maria Foundation v. Sebelius Michigan	13-cv-15198 (E.D. Mich.) 14-1310 (6th Cir.)	12/20/2013	District court granted preliminary injunction. The government appealed to the 6 th Circuit.
28	University of Notre Dame v. Sebelius Indiana	12-cv-253 (N.D. Ind.) 13-1479 (7th Cir.)	5/21/2012	District court granted the government's motion to dismiss on grounds of standing and ripeness. On March 1, 2013, the plaintiffs appealed to the 7 th Circuit. After the government finalized the accommodation under the birth control coverage rule, the, the 7 th Circuit dismissed the appeal pursuant to the parties' joint motion to voluntarily dismiss. Case is closed.
29	University of Notre Dame v. Sebelius	13-cv-1276 (N.D. Ind.)	12/3/2013	District court denied motion for preliminary injunction. The plaintiff then appealed to

	(II) Indiana	13-3853 (7th Cir.)		<p>the 7th Circuit, which denied the emergency application for an injunction pending appeal.</p> <p>The 7th Circuit allowed three female students to intervene and denied a female employee's motion to be added as an intervenor.</p> <p>Following the Supreme Court's grant of temporary relief in <i>Little Sisters</i>, Notre Dame renewed its motion for an injunction pending appeal with the 7th Circuit.</p> <p>Following oral argument in the 7th Circuit on February 12, the court denied Notre Dame a preliminary injunction.</p> <p>The district court stayed its proceedings pending the 7th Circuit appeal.</p>
30	Diocese of Fort Wayne-South Bend, Inc. v. Sebelius Indiana	12-cv-00159 (N.D. Ind.) 14-1431 (7th Cir.)	5/21/2012	<p>Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint challenging the final birth control coverage rule and motion for preliminary injunction. The district court granted a preliminary injunction. The government appealed to the 7th Circuit.</p> <p>The 7th Circuit consolidated the appeal with <i>Grace Schools</i> and set a briefing schedule.</p>
31	Catholic Diocese of Peoria v. Sebelius Illinois	12-cv-1276 (C.D. Ill.)	8/9/2012	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness. Case is closed.
32	Conlon v. Sebelius Illinois	12-cv-3932 (N.D. Ill.)	5/21/2012	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness and standing. Case is closed.
33	Grace Schools v. Sebelius Indiana	12-cv-00459 (N.D. Ind.) 14-1430 (7th Cir.)	8/23/2012	<p>Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint challenging the final rule and motion for preliminary injunction. The district court granted a preliminary injunction. The government appealed to the 7th Circuit.</p> <p>The 7th Circuit consolidated the appeal with <i>Diocese of Fort Wayne-South Bend</i> and set a briefing schedule.</p>
34	Wheaton College v. Sebelius (II) Illinois	13-cv-08910 (N.D. Ill.)	12/13/13	Complaint filed.

35	CNS Ministries v. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Missouri	12-cv-81 (E.D. Mo.)	11/20/2012	District court granted plaintiffs' request to dismiss the case without prejudice. Case is closed.
36	Archdiocese of St. Louis v. Sebelius Missouri	12-cv-924 (E.D. Mo.)	5/21/2012	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness and standing. Case is closed.
37	Archdiocese of St. Louis v. Sebelius (II) Missouri	13-cv-02300 (E.D. Mo.)	11/14/2013	Complaint filed. The government filed motion to dismiss, or in the alternative, for summary judgment.
38	College of the Ozarks v. Sebelius Missouri	12-cv-3428 (W.D. Mo.)	9/17/2012	District court granted plaintiffs' request to dismiss the case without prejudice. Case is closed.
39	The School of the Ozarks v. Sebelius Missouri	13-cv-03157 (W.D. Mo.)	4/19/2013	Complaint filed. The plaintiffs voluntarily dismissed their claims against RightChoice Managed Care, Healthy Alliance Life Insurance, and HMO Missouri. Amended complaint filed on January 14, 2014.
40	Dordt College v. Sebelius Iowa	13-cv-04100 (N.D. Iowa)	10/23/2013	Complaint filed. The government filed motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, or in the alternative, for summary judgment.
41	Colorado Christian University v. Sebelius Colorado	11-cv-03350 (D. Colo.)	12/22/2011	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness. Case is closed.
42	Colorado Christian University v. Sebelius (II) Colorado	13-cv-02105 (D. Colo.)	8/7/2013	Following the Supreme Court's announcement that it will review <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> , the district court requested that the parties show cause as to why the court should not be stayed or administratively closed pending the resolution of these cases by the Supreme Court.
43	Southern Nazarene University v. Sebelius	13-cv-1015 (W.D. Okla.)	9/20/2013	District court granted plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction and then stayed proceedings until March 1, 2014. The

	Oklahoma	14-6026 (10th Cir.)		government appealed to the 10 th Circuit.
44	Little Sisters of the Poor v. Sebelius Colorado	13-cv-02611 (D. Colo.) 13-1540 (10th Cir.) 13A691 (U.S. Sup. Ct.)	9/24/2013	District court denied plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction. The plaintiffs appealed to the 10 th Circuit, which denied their emergency application for an injunction pending appeal. The plaintiffs then filed an emergency application for an injunction pending appeal with the Supreme Court. Justice Sotomayor, the Circuit Justice for the 10 th Circuit, granted temporary relief while the government responds to the emergency application. On January 24, the Supreme Court granted plaintiffs' emergency application for an injunction pending appeal on the condition that they file notice with HHS that they are an organizations that hold themselves out as religious and have religious objections to contraceptive coverage.
45	Reaching Souls International, Inc. v. Sebelius Oklahoma	13-cv-01092 (W.D. Okla.) 14-6028 (10th Cir.)	10/11/2013	District court granted plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction and denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The government appealed to the 10 th Circuit.
46	Fellowship of Catholic University Students ("FOCUS") v. Sebelius Colorado	13-cv-03263 (D. Colo.)	12/3/2013	Complaint and motion for preliminary injunction filed.
47	Dobson v. Sebelius Colorado	13-cv-03326 (D. Colo.)	12/10/2013	Complaint and motion for preliminary injunction filed.
48	Diocese of Cheyenne v. Sebelius Wyoming	14-cv-00021 (D. Wyo.)	1/30/14	Complaint and motion for preliminary injunction filed.
49	Eternal Word Television Network, Inc. v. Sebelius Alabama	12-cv-00501 (N.D. Ala.)	2/9/2012	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness. Case is closed.

50	Eternal Word Television Network, Inc. v. Sebelius (II) Alabama	13-cv-00521 (S.D. Ala.)	10/28/2013	EWTN and the State of Alabama filed a motion for summary judgment against the final birth control rule.
51	Ave Maria University v. Sebelius Florida	12-cv-00088 (M.D. Fla.)	2/21/2012	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness. Case is closed.
52	Ave Maria University v. Sebelius (II) Florida	13-cv-00630 (M.D. Fla.)	8/29/2013	The court administratively stayed the case pending the Supreme Court's resolution of <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> .
53	The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Atlanta v. Sebelius Georgia	12-cv-03489 (N.D. Ga.)	10/5/2012	District court granted a permanent injunction.
54	The Most Reverend Thomas Wenski v Sebelius (also known as Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Miami v. Sebelius) Florida	12-cv-23820 (S.D. Fla.)	10/19/2012	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of ripeness. Case is closed.
55	Ave Maria School of Law v. Sebelius Florida	13-cv-00795 (M.D. Fla.)	11/12/2013	Complaint filed and briefing schedule set.

Cases that Include Both For- and Non-Profit Plaintiffs (last updated March 27, 2014)					
	Case Name	Description of Plaintiffs	Court and Case Number	Date Filed	Status
1	Geneva College v. Sebelius	<p>The Pennsylvania-based for-profit plaintiffs are Seneca Hardwood, a lumber business, and WLH Enterprises, a sawmill.</p> <p>Geneva College is a Pennsylvania-based non-profit.</p>	<p>12-cv-00207 (W.D. Pa.)</p> <p>13-2814, 13-3536, 14-1374 (3d. Cir.)</p>	2/21/2012	<p><u>The for-profit plaintiff, Seneca Hardwood (13-2814)</u>: The district court granted a preliminary injunction. The government appealed to the 3rd Circuit.</p> <p><u>The non-profit plaintiff, Geneva College's student health plan (13-3536)</u>: The district court initially dismissed the non-profit plaintiff, Geneva College, on grounds of ripeness. The district court then granted Geneva College's motion for reconsideration, stating that some of Geneva College's claims were ripe and granted a preliminary injunction. The government is appealing this decision to the 3rd Circuit.</p> <p><u>The non-profit plaintiff, Geneva College's employee health plan (14-1374)</u>: The district court granted a preliminary injunction. The government appealed to the 3rd Circuit.</p> <p>The 3rd Circuit consolidated all appeals in the for- and non-profit cases, which is holding the appeals in abeyance as the Supreme Court considers the <i>Hobby Lobby</i> and <i>Conestoga</i> cases.</p>
2	Weingartz	Weingartz Supply	12-cv-12061	5/7/2012	District court initially

	Supply Company v. Sebelius (also known as Legatus v. Sebelius)	Company is a secular Michigan company that sells outdoor power equipment. Legatus is a non-profit organization comprising more than 4000 members including individuals and professional organizations.	(E.D. Mich.) 13-1092, 13-1093, 14-1183 (6th Cir.)		granted a preliminary injunction for plaintiff Daniel Weingartz and Weingartz Supply Company, but not the non-profit plaintiff Legatus. <u>The for-profit plaintiff, Weingartz (13-1092):</u> the government appealed to the 6 th Circuit. Following the 6 th Circuit decision in <i>Autocam</i> , parties submitted briefs addressing the effect of <i>Autocam</i> on this case. Amicus brief filed in the 6 th Circuit on behalf of NWLC and 16 other national, regional, state and local organizations. <u>The non-profit plaintiff, Legatus:</u> the plaintiffs cross-appealed the denial of a preliminary injunction to Legatus and then voluntarily dismissed that appeal (13-1093). After the government finalized the accommodation in the birth control coverage rule, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint and motion for injunctive relief. On December 20, 2013, the district court granted a preliminary injunction to Legatus. The government has appealed to the 6 th Circuit (14-1183).
3	Sharpe Holdings Inc. v. Sebelius	Sharpe Holdings, Inc. is a Missouri corporation that is involved in the farming, dairy, creamery, and	12-cv-00092 (E.D. Mo.) 14-1507 (8th Cir.)	12/20/2012	District court granted a preliminary injunction to the for-profit plaintiffs. The plaintiffs filed a second amended

		<p>cheese-making industries.</p> <p>Ozark National Life Insurance Company, is a Missouri insurance corporation; N.I.S. Financial Services is a Missouri mutual fund broker, and CNS Corporation is the Missouri-based holding company for Ozark, N.I.S. and Sharpe Holdings.</p>			<p>complaint adding two non-profit plaintiffs: CNS International Ministries, Inc. and Heartland Christian College. The district court extended to the non-profit plaintiffs the preliminary injunction and stay that is currently in effect for the for-profit plaintiffs.</p> <p>The government appealed to the 8th Circuit the preliminary injunction in effect for the non-profit plaintiffs.</p>
4	Catholic Benefits Association v. Sebelius	For- and non-profit corporations including the Catholic Benefits Association and Catholic Insurance Company.	14-cv-00240 (W.D. Okla.)	3/12/2014	Complaint filed.

Other Cases (last updated March 27, 2014)					
	Case Name	Description of Plaintiffs	Court and Case Number	Date Filed	Status
1	Wieland v. Sebelius	Paul Wieland is a member of the Missouri House of Representatives.	13-cv-01577 (E.D. Mo.) 13-3528 (8th Cir.)	8/14/2013	<p>District court granted government's motion to dismiss, finding that plaintiffs lacked standing to bring their challenge.</p> <p>The plaintiffs appealed to the 8th Circuit and filed an emergency motion with the district court for a preliminary injunction pending appeal, which the court denied. The 8th Circuit has set a briefing schedule.</p> <p>The plaintiffs then filed a motion for a preliminary injunction pending appeal with the 8th Circuit, which the court denied.</p>
2	State of Nebraska et al. v. Sebelius	The states of Nebraska, South Carolina, Michigan, Texas, Florida, Ohio, and Oklahoma	12-cv-03035 (D. Neb.) 12-3238 (8th Cir.)	2/23/2012	District court granted the motion to dismiss on grounds of standing and ripeness. The plaintiffs appealed to the 8 th Circuit, which then granted plaintiffs' motion to voluntarily dismiss the case. The case is closed.